

Miami Northwestern Senior High <u>Honors</u> Writing Rubric

The rubric below shows how your writing is scored.

Score	Scholastic Criterion
20-25 23 - 25 [A] 20 - 22 [B]	 * Papers are specific to their references clearly, directly, and fully. * Writer demonstrates the ability to discuss a literary work with insight and understanding and to control a wide range of the elements of effective composition. * They need not, however, be free from errors. * At all times, they stay focused on the prompt, providing specific support—mostly through direct quotations—and connecting scholarly commentary to overall meaning.
15–19 18 - 19 [C] 15 - 17 [D]	 * Papers are less thorough, less perceptive or less specific than 20-/9 papers. * They indicate good understanding of the text and support their points with appropriate textual references and/or quotations. * While they demonstrate the writer's ability to analyze a literary work, they reveal a more limited understanding and less stylistic maturity than do the papers in the 8/9 range. * The writing in these papers is forceful and clear, but need not be free from errors.
10-14 10 - 14 [F+]	 *Papers typically address the assigned question intelligently, but do not answer it fully and specifically. Plastic and 'safe'. * Discussion of meaning may be formulaic, mechanical, or inadequately related to the chosen details. * They usually demonstrate inconsistent control over the elements of composition and are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as the upper-half papers. * However, the writing is sufficient to convey the writer's ideas, stays mostly focused on the prompt, and contains at least some effort to produce analysis, direct or indirect.
5-9 5 - 9 [F-]	 * Papers fail in some important way to fulfill the assignment. * They may omit a part of the question completely, fail to provide minimal textual support for their points, or base their analysis on misreading of some part of the text. * The writing may convey the writer's ideas, but it reveals weak control over such elements as diction, organization, syntax, or grammar. * They may have little, if any, supporting evidence, and practice paraphrase and plot summary at the expense of analysis.

0-4 [Really?]	 * Papers may compound the weakness of essays in the 4-3 range and are frequently unacceptably brief. * They are poorly written on several counts, including many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics. * Although the writer may have made some effort to answer the question, the views presented have little clarity or coherence OR simply Plagiarized.
------------------	---

	Honors Writing Rubric – Student Shorthand Version
23 - 25 [A]	
	Subtle, relaxed, especially full analysis, and demonstrates impressive stylistic control. Use of good grammar, flows, recognized elements.
20 - 22 [B]	
	Effective analysis, convincing explanations, good control of writing.
18 - 19 [C]	
	Adequate analysis, ideas well developed, mature prose style. Not badoklacking some depth.
15 - 17 [D]	
	Adequate analysis, refers to text directly or indirectly, prose is clear. lacking some depth.
10 - 14 [F]	
	Analysis present but limited, treatment may be superficial, prose conveys ideas. Poor structure. Lacks insight and depth. Regurgitation of ideas.
	5 - 9 [F-]
	Inadequate response to the question, may misrepresent the text, immature prose control.
	5 - 9 [F-]
	Inadequate response to the question, less perception and control than a 4.
	0-4 [Really?]
	Little analytical success, marked simplicity, control lacking. Plagiarize. Obviously lacks structure. Sorely Incomplete. ~The best papers answer the question directly, often in the first sentence. ~ Papers without examples and/or quotations are almost always lower half.